

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/954,846	09/17/2001		Y. Tom Tang	PF-0556-1 DIV	9384
22428	7590	12/03/2004		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AN	ND LARI	DNER	LI, RUIXIANG		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				1646	
				DATE MAIL ED. 12/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/954,846	TANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Ruixiang Li	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FINE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	o 04 August 2004.					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice un	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	2 <u>8</u> is/are withdrawn from consid	deration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet of the sp	accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyal correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview :	Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/929 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	48) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

The Request filed on 08/04/2004 for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 of Application 09/954,846 is granted. An action on the RCE follows.

Applicants' Amendment and Claims

Applicants' amendment on 08/04/2004 has been entered in full. Claims 3, 4, 9, 11, and 12 have been amended. Claims 3-7, 9, 11-17, 27, 28, and 46 are pending. Claims 3-7, 9, 11, 12, and 46 are under consideration.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.

Withdrawn Objections and/or Rejections

The rejections of claims 3, 6, 7, 9, 11, and 12 under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph (Scope of Enablement and Written Description), as set forth at pages 3-5 of the office action (Paper No. 8, September 24, 2003), have been with drawn in view of Applicants' amendment to the claims.

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Rejection under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph (Scope of Enablement)

The rejection of claim 12 under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph (Scope of Enablement), as

set forth at pages 5-7 of the office action (Paper No. 8, September 24, 2003), is

maintained.

It is noted that claim 12 has been amended to recite an intended use, "for detecting the

complete complement of the polynucleotide of claim 11". However, recitation of such an

intended use does not limit the scope of the claimed invention and the scope of

enablement rejection remains for the following reasons.

Claim 12 recites an isolated polynucleotide comprising at least 60 contiguous

nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 4 or a polynucleotide of claim 11 (homologues of SEQ ID

NO: 4). However, other than the polynucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 4 encoding

the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2, the specification fails to provide sufficient guidance,

information or working examples regarding the structural and functional requirements

commensurate in scope with what is encompassed by the instant claim. The disclosure

does not show (i) which portions of SEQ ID NO: 4 are critical to the activity of the

proteins encoded by the nucleic acids; and (ii) what modifications (e.g., substitutions,

deletions or additions) one can make to SEQ ID NO: 4 will result in protein mutants with

the same functions as the protein of SEQ ID NO: 2. The state of the art (See, e.g., Ngo,

et al. The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, 1994, Merz, et al.

Art Unit: 1646

(ed.), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, pp. 433 and 492-495) is such that the relationship

between sequence of a protein and its activity is not well understood and is not

predictable. Excising out portions of a protein or modifications to a protein, e.g., by

substitutions or deletions, would often result in deleterious effects to the overall activity

and effectiveness of the protein.

Accordingly, the disclosure fails to enable such a myriad of the claimed nucleic acid

molecules that not only vary substantially in length but also in nucleic acid composition

and to provide any guidance to one skilled in the art on how to make and use the

claimed genus of nucleic acid molecules. Thus, it would require undue experimentation

for one skilled in the art to make and use the claimed genus of the molecules embraced

by the instant claim.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph (Written Description)

The rejection of claim 12 under 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph (Written Description), as

set forth at pages 7-9 of the office action (Paper No. 8, September 24, 2003), is

maintained.

Claim 12 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was

filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1646

The description discloses a polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 4 that encodes a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2. However, claim 12, as written, recites an isolated polynucleotide comprising at least 60 contiguous nucleotides of the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 4 or a polynucleotide of claim 11 (homologues of SEQ ID NO: 4). Thus, the claim encompasses a huge number of nucleic acids that vary substantially both in length and in nucleotide composition. In fact, the claims encompass virtually any random nucleic acid sequence of any length as long as it comprises at least 60 nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 4 or its homologues.

The instant disclosure of a single species of nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO: 4 that encodes the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 5 does not adequately support the scope of the claimed genus, which encompasses a substantial variety of subgenera including full-length genes. A description of a genus of cDNA may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of cDNAs, defined by nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the genus, or of a recitation of structural features common to the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. *Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly & Co.*, 119 F3d 1559, 1569, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997). The instant disclosure fails to provide sufficient description information, such as definitive structural or functional features of the claimed genus of polynucleotides. There is no description of the structure and function of the genus claimed. There is no description of the sites at which variability may be

Art Unit: 1646

tolerated and there is no information regarding the relation of structure to function.

Page 6

Furthermore, the prior art does not provide compensatory structural or correlative

teachings to enable one skilled in the art to identify the encompassed polynucleotides

as being identical to those instantly claimed.

Due to the breadth of the claim genus and lack of the definitive structural or functional

features of the claimed genus, one skilled in the art would not recognize from the

disclosure that the applicant was in possession of the claimed genus.

Suggestion

The following claim language is suggested to overcome the rejections under 35 USC §

112, 1st paragraph:

An isolated polynucleotide consisting of at least 60 contiguous nucleotides of the nucleic

acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 4 or a complement thereof, wherein said polynucleotide

hybridizes under highly stringent conditions to the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:

4 or a complement thereof, wherein said highly stringent conditions are X, Y, and Z.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 7

examiner should be directed to Ruixiang Li whose telephone number is (571) 272-0875.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00

pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at the toll-free phone number 866-217-9197.

Ruixiang Li, Ph.D.

Ruixiang L

Examiner

December 2, 2004